#### **Mayor** Kenneth Romney

## WEST BOUNTIFUL CITY

# City Administrator Duane Huffman

**City Recorder** 

#### **City Council**

James Ahlstrom
Dell Butterfield
Kelly Enquist
Jenn Nielsen
Mark Preece

550 North 800 West West Bountiful, Utah 84087

> Phone (801) 292-4486 Fax (801) 292-6355 www.WBCityut.gov

Remington Whiting

City Engineer

Kris Nilsen

**Public Works Director** Steve Maughan

# Certificate of Election

We, the undersigned Board of Canvassers for West Bountiful City, Davis County, State of Utah, do hereby certify the following vote totals for the 2025 Municipal General Election held November 4<sup>th</sup>, 2025, as appears from the official canvassed returns of said election as filed, and of record in the office of City Recorder of West Bountiful City:

Mayor:

Kennth L Romney – 922

Dell Butterfield – 660

City Council:

Julie Nichols Thompson – 1,049

Kelly Enquist – 752 Mark W Preece – 573

Richmond S. Thornley - 548

We further declare elected: Kenneth L Romney for the position of Mayor and Julie Nichols Thompson and Kelly Enquist for the position City Council for the General Municipal Election on November 4<sup>th</sup>, 2025.

Ones alltrem
James Ahlstrom
Exercision of
Kelly Enquist
Mark Sheer.
Mark Preece

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and caused to be affixed my official seal this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November 2025.

Remington Whiting

West Bountiful Election Officer/City Recorder

#### **Mayor** Kenneth Romney

## WEST BOUNTIFUL CITY

# City Administrator Duane Huffman

City Recorder

Remington Whiting

## City Council

James Ahlstrom Dell Butterfield Kelly Enquist Jenn Nielsen Mark Preece 550 North 800 West West Bountiful, Utah 84087

> Phone (801) 292-4486 Fax (801) 292-6355 www.WBCityut.gov

City Engineer Kris Nilsen

**Public Works Director** Steve Maughan

# Certificate of Approval

We, the undersigned Board of Canvassers for West Bountiful City, Davis County, State of Utah, do hereby certify that Proposition #3 – Recreation, Arts and Park (RAP) Tax Reauthorization, was approved by a majority vote at the Municipal General Election held November 4<sup>th</sup>, 2025, as appears from the official canvassed returns of said election as filed, and of record in the office of City Recorder of West Bountiful City:

Yes - 1,200No - 253

Board of Canvassers:	Jane alltion
Kenneth Romney	James Ahlstrom
Dell Butterfield	Exelly Enquist
Jenn Nielsen	Mark Preece


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and caused to be affixed my official seal this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November 2025.

Remington Whiting

West Boundful Election Officer/City Recorder



November 4.	2025													
				STAT	ISTICS				WEST BOUN	ITIFUL CITY	WEST B	OUNTIFUL (	CITY COUN	CIL
									VOTE FO	ORONE	V	OTE FOR UP	TOTWO	
Precinct	Registered Voters	Ballots Cast	Voter Turnout	Early	Election Day	Curbside	Provisional	By Mail	DELL BUTTERFIELD	KENNETH L ROMNEY	KELLY ENQUIST	RICHMOND S. THORNLEY	JULIE NICHOLS THOMPSON	MARK W PREECE
6WB01:I-S-	661	276	41.75%	0	14	0	1	261	90	180	116	54	174	149
6WB02:I-S-	613	281	45.84%	0	9	0	0	272	95	181	122	121	216	63
6WB03:I-S-	621	240	38.65%	0	12	0	0	228	117	117	113	68	152	96
6WB04:I-S-	742	379	51.08%	0	8	0	0	371	145	225	195	118	258	127
6WB05:I-S-	388	181	46.65%	0	17	0	0	164	106	73	105	47	102	61
6WB06:I-S-	543	255	46.96%	0	11	00	0	244	107	146	101	140	147	77
WBTOTAL	3568	1612	45.18%		71		1	1540	660	922	752	548	1049	573





# CANVASS REPORT

**Municipal General Election** 

**November 4, 2025** 

**Prepared For: West Bountiful** 

Davis County Clerk

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

BY THE NUMBERS	1
PRE-ELECTION TEST AND AUDIT REPORT	2
REGISTER OF REPORTS	3
CANVASS AUDIT CERTIFICATION	4

# **West Bountiful City**

# Municipal General Election November 4, 2025

# 3568 Active Registered Voters

1612 Ballots Cast

45.18% Turnout

## **Ballots Cast By Type**



1540

By Mail - Voters cast their vote utilizing a by mail ballot sent to the voter



0

In Person Early – Voters cast their vote utilizing a ballot issued at an early voting location prior to election day



71

In Person Election Day – Voters cast their vote utilizing a ballot issued at a voting center on election day



Provisional – Voters cast their vote utilizing a provisional ballot issued at an early voting location or voting center

# PRE-ELECTION TEST AND AUDIT

# What, Where, and When

As required by Utah Code 20A-4-104 Before beginning to count ballots using automatic tabulating equipment, the election officer shall test the automatic tabulating equipment to ensure that it will accurately count the votes cast for all offices and all measures. Additionally a firmware validation must be completed, this verifies the firmware versions used on tabulating equipment matches the official certified firmware versions approved for use in the State of Utah.

A test deck is created which contains ballots from each precinct and each contest involved in the election. Votes are marked for each candidate or question. Under votes (blank ballots) and over voter are also included in the test deck. The test deck is processed through each type of tabulation equipment which will be utilized in the election. The results tabulated from the automatic tabulating equipment is then compared to the pre-determined results.



# **Testing Team**

This test is conducted by personnel of the Davis County Clerks Office. It was publicly noticed and was open to the public, candidates and their representatives for viewing. The test was conducted on October 10, 2025 beginning at 10:00am at 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025.

## Results

All contests were included in the test, all equipment accurately detected over votes and under votes. The results of the test accurately matched the expected results. The firmware in use was confirmed as the approved version on all tabulating equipment. Following this page you will find the Pre Election Test and Audit Report.



# Davis County Pre-Election Test and Audit Report

Elect	tion: November 4, 2025	Test Date: October 10
Pre-	-Election Test Summary	
1.	Number of paper ballots proces	ssed on DS450:2/8/
2.	Number of paper ballots proces	ssed on DS200:/95
3.	Number of Express Vote Ballot	s Processed:/29
4.	Were all contests included in the	ne test?/_Yes
5.	Did all equipment accurately de	etect over votes?Yes
6.	Did all equipment accurately de	etect under votes?Yes
7.	Did the results match the expe	cted results of the test? <a href="YYes">YYes</a>
Not	tes:	
	- View in the second se	

#### Firmware Validation

Hardware	Certified Firmware Version	Current Firmware Version
Electionware PC	6.3.0.0	6.3.00
DS450	4.2.0.0	4.2.00
DS200	3.0.0.0	3.0.0.0
ExpressVote	4.2.1.0	4.2.1.0

Were there any differences between the certified firmware version and the current firmware version? \_\_Yes V No

#### Certification

I certify that I was present and or participated in the Pre-Election Test and Audit and that the information included in this certification is accurate.

County Clerk or Deputy Clerk:

Board of Canvass Representative(s):

# REGISTER OF REPORTS



1. Canvass Certification of Election Officer and Board of Canvass. This document certifies the results as the official results prepared by the Election Officer and approved by the Board of Canvass.



2. Summary of Ballots Not Counted. This report documents the total number of ballot which not were received, but not counted. It identifies the ballot by type and the reason why the ballot was not counted. If applicable, voters were contacted and given an opportunity to resolve issues with their ballot prior to the canvass



3. Ballot Reconciliation. This report documents each ballot reconciliation during the election process. Election Officers are required to reconcile ballots each time ballots are tabulated.



4. Canvass Statistics – This report provides standard statistics for the election as required by the State of Utah.



5. Summary Results Report – One of three election results reports. This report provides a summary of the election results and is considered the official results of the election.



6. Statement of Votes Cast – Second of three election results reports. This report provides a detailed report of ballots cast by type for each voting precinct as well as a breakdown of votes for each candidate or issue by precinct. This report is usually formatted in a digital spreadsheet and is available in a separate electronic file.



7. Cast Vote Record Report – Third of three election results reports. This report provides a detailed report of each ballot cast in the election and an indication of each vote within each race or ballot question. Service District Races are not included in this report. This report is usually formatted in a digital spreadsheet and is available in a separate electronic file.

Summary of By-Mail and Provisional Ballots Not Counted  West Bountiful By-Mail	o Total ba	O Alread	o Fleeing	O No Proof	o No Proof of	O	o Power of	o	o Moved fro	Signed by other than	No Sign	o Signature did	o Empty Ev	Not Ti
West Bountiful Provisional	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Time and Date (ctrl+alt+shift+semicolon)	1404044000											
	nime and pate (car-an-anitraemicolon)		10/23/20:	10/24/202	10/27/202	10/29/202	11/5/2025	11/5/2025		11/14/202	11/17/202	Total	
	Active Registered Voters as of Registration Deadline [2]	192438									- 1	192438	
osal Voters and	Ballots Provided to Voter (By Mail and Electronic) [3]	194067	194266	194368	194485	194562	194564	194564	194564	194564	194564	194564	
Bellots (sewed	Ballots Returned Undeliverable [4]	2100	2300	2434	2661	2713	2864	2873	0	2960	2973	2973	
	Ballots Provided to Voter (Polling Locations) [5]	0	0	0	0	0	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	
	Mail/Absentee in Mail	9226	13659	16085	19177	23956	31046	31463	31468	31623	31632	31632	
	Mail/Absentee in Drop Box	2249	2249	2249	2249	6381	35628	35645	35646	35646	35646	35646	
	Total Mail Ballofs	11475	15908	18334	21426	30337	66674	67108	67114	67269	67278	67278	Balance
	Electronic UOCAVA and ADA	0	0	0	0	0	32	37	37	37	37	37	Balance
Ballots	Early Voting (Regular)	0	0	0	0	9	17	17	17	17	17	17	Balance
Seceived From	Early Voting (Curbside)	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balance
Votors [6]	Early Voting (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Balance
	Election Day Polls (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	Balance
	Election Day Polls (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balance
	Election Day Polls (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	99	99	99	99	99	99	Balance
	Total Billion Received Fluis Voles III. Processing	11475	15908	18354	21426	30347	68678	69117	59123	69278	69287	69257	
	Mail Ballots	2063	0	0	0	0	9608	0	0	0	0	0	Balance
	Electronic UOCAVA and ADA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balance
	Early Voting (Regular)	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balance
	Early Voting (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	Balance
Ballots In Process	Early Voting (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	Balance
Fracess	Election Day Polit (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balance
	Election Day Polis (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balance
	Election Day Polis (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	99	99	4	4	4	4	Balance
	Total Ballots in Process	2063	0	0	0	10	9708	100	4	4	4	4	Datarica
	Mail Ballots	9360	15849	18275	21361	30229	56879	66512	66516	66556	66567	66567	O-land
	Electronic UOCAVA and ADA	0	0	0	0	0	32	37	37	37	37	37	Balance
	Early Voting (Regular)	0	0	0	0	0	17	17	17	17	17	17	Balance
	Early Voting (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balance
	Early Voting (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	Balance
Promouse [7]	Election Day Polls (Regular)	0	0	0	D	0	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	1855	Balance
	Election Day Polls (Curbside)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Balance
	Election Day Polls (Provisional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95	95	-	-	Balance
	Tradition August 1997 - 1997	9360	15849	18275	21361	30229	58783	68421	68521	68561	95	95	Balance
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (By Mail) [8]	11423	15849	18275	21381	30229	50843	66548	66553	100000	06572	50572	P-SP-Street
	Total Voters with Gredit for Young in VISTA (Early) [9]	0	0	0	D D	90228	17	17			66604	66604	Balance
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Normal) [10]	0	0	0	0	0	1857	1855	17	17	17	17	Balance
	Total Voters with Credit for Voting in VISTA (Provisional) [11]	0	0	0	0	0	1957	86	1855	1855	1855	1855	Balance
Reconciliation	Total Voters with Gredit for Voting in VISTA (Provisional) [11]	11423	15849	1827/5	21351	U. U. (10) (10) (10)	02717	68506	96	96	96	96	Balance
Reconciliation		2063	O	0	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	-		-	68521	68561	68572	68572	Balance
	Beliefs with Vote History in VISTA but not Processed [12]		100	(197.1	0	9.	3930	86	0	0	0	0	
13417	Reconciliation of Ballots Counted to Vota History [13]			Balanced		Balanced			Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	
	Reconciliation of Ballots Recieved/Counted/Rejected [14]	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Batanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	Balanced	
	Notes	-	21				100						
- 1	By Mail Ballots Rejected but Curable	52	59	59	64	107	169	154	150	120	106	106	
	By Mail Ballots Rejected and Not Curable	0	0	0	1.	1	18	442	448	593	605	605	
	By Mail Ballots Resolved [15]	0	0	0	0	0	54	62	65	90	99	99	1

#### Standardized Canvass Statistics Report Davis County Municipal General Election November 4, 2025 Section 1: Active Voters and Turnout 35.6% Voter turnout Proportion of active voters and same-day registrants who cast counted ballots 35.6% 192,438 Active voters (use only the number given to counties the Friday before the election) **Section 2: Counted Ballot Statistics** 68,572 Total ballots counted 66,567 Mail ballots counted 17 In-person early voting period ballots counted 1,855 In-person election day ballots counted 3 Disability ballots counted returned electronically 34 UOCAVA ballots counted returned electronically 96 Provisional ballots counted 0 **Emergency ballots counted Section 3: Vote History Reconciliation** 68,572 Vote histories given Yes Does total ballots counted match the number of voters given vote history in VISTA? NA If no, what is the difference? (surplus vote histories) If no, provide an explanation below. Section 4: Provisional Ballot Statistics 100 Provisional ballots issued 96 Provisional ballots counted 4 Total provisional ballots not counted 1 Already voted 0 Insufficient ID 3 Residency 0 Incomplete form 0 Other 96.0% Percentage of provisionals that were counted 52 Number of provisional ballots that were same-day registration Section 5: By Mail Ballot Statistics 205 Total challenged curable ballots (number of voters sent a cure notice) 106 Total rejected ballots that were curable but not cured 55 Envelopes with a signature that does not match the voter's signature 20 Unsigned envelopes 31 Ballots challenged for other curable reasons 51.7% Percentage of curable ballots not cured 8 Number of ballots where the voter submitted a disability affidavit 605

#### **Section 6: County Clerk Certification**

I certify that the information contained in this report is true and accurate. (type your name in the field below)

Total rejected non-provisional ballots that could not legally be cured

Returned after the received-by deadline

Rejected for other non-curable reasons

Percentage of ballots returned as undeliverable

Brian McKenzie, Davis County Clerk

582

23

1.5%

**Davis County** 

#### STATISTICS

STATISTICS	
	TOTAL
Registered Voters - Total	3,568
Ballots Cast - Total	1,612
Voter Turnout - Total	45.18%

## Summary Results Report November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS

### Municipal General Election G2025

**Davis County** 

## West Bountiful City Mayor

Vote For 1

	TOTAL
KENNETH L ROMNEY	922
DELL BUTTERFIELD	660
West Bountiful City Council	

Vote For 2

	TOTAL
JULIE NICHOLS THOMPSON	1,049
KELLY ENQUIST	752
MARK W PREECE	573
RICHMOND S. THORNLEY	548

West Bountiful City RAP Tax Proposition #3

Vote For 1

	TOTAL
Yes	1,200
No	253

# **POST ELECTION AUDIT**

# What, Where, and When

A Post election audit consists of four requirements. First is a comparison of the Cast Vote Record to the Election Summary Report. The second is an audit of the tabulating equipment as required by 20A-4-104(1)(f). This audit ensures the automatic tabulation equipment accurately counted the ballots by hand counting select batches of ballots and comparing the hand counted with the results recorded in the tabulation system. It consists of 1 percent of all ballots up to 1,000 ballots. The ballots are selected randomly

by the Lt. Governors Office.

The third is an audit of the signature comparisons made between signatures on envelopes and voter signatures maintained by the election officer as required by 20A-3a-402.5. This audit is performed on 1% of all ballot envelopes prior to envelopes being opened and separated from the ballot.

November 14, 2025

The fourth is a final reconciliation of ballots cast to vote history recorded in the voter registration system as required by 20A-4-304 (2)(h). This is documented on the Ballot Reconciliation Report.

Procedures for these audits are set by administrative rules and policy set by the Lt. Governor.

## **Audit Team**

Auditors consisted of Davis County Citizens, and Officials from outside of the Davis County Clerk's Office. It was publicly noticed and was open to the public, candidates and their representatives for viewing. The test was conducted on November 14, 2025 beginning at 10:00am at 61 South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025.

## Results

The results of the audit accurately matched the tabulated results. No discrepancies were found in the signature comparisons. Following this page you will find the Canvass Audit Certification Report and the Post Election Auditor Certification Report.



# Davis County Post-Election Auditors Certification Report

Election: November 4, 2025 Municipal General Election

South Main Street, Farmington, Utah 84025 on the 14 day of November, 2025; all laws, policies and procedures were followed as currently constituted; and that the following information is true and correct.
Signature of County Clerk: Date: 11-14-25
We the undersigned election auditors certify that we were present during and participated in this post-election audit. We certify that we reviewed the original paper ballots, and tabulation of all ballots identified for audit, we confirmed that the electronic count matched the original marks made by the voter, and that all electronic tabulations accurately reflected the intent of the voter as marked on each ballot and that if any differences were identified, they have been listed on the Audit Summary Report.
As an appointed election auditor, I certify that I attended the Post-Election Audit and verified the information listed above to be accurate.
Signature of Election Auditor Subsets Johnson
Signature of Election Auditor
Signature of Election Auditor
Signature of Election Auditor Wales Balingnan
Signature of Election Auditor
Signature of Election Auditor Altto Hollwork
Signature of Election Auditor Vulyur Koster
Signature of Election Auditor Level Page
Signature of Election Auditor Mancy R. Dlan
Signature of Election Auditor Jennifer Chaffer
Signature of Election Auditor
Signature of Election Auditor



# Canvass - Audit Certification Report

Coun	ty: Davis Election: Municipal Greneral 2025
1. 2. 3.	Number of paper ballots processed: <u>68,572</u> Number of ballots audited: <u>795</u> List the contests on the ballot that were audited:  All Contests
	Were there any differences between the tabulation software and the votes marked on the paper ballots? YesX_ No (*If YES, please attach an explanation of any inconsistencies)
nor	Mashine Audit Summanu
I.	Machine Audit Summary (complete only if applicable)  Number of voting machines used in the election:
2.	Number of polling places used for the election:
3.	Number of voting machines audited:
4.	Were tamper-evident seals affixed to all journal tape canisters?YesNo  (*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
5.	Did all machine serial numbers match when the canisters were installed and removed?YesNo
	(*If no, please attach copies of the journal tape logs for the applicable canisters)
6.	Did any tape seals on the journal tape canisters show evidence of tampering?YesNo
	(*If yes, please attach copies of the logs for the applicable canisters)
7. ma	Were there any differences between the machine results and hand-counted results for any audited chine?No *ff yes, please attach copies of the hand count logs for the applicable canisters)
Sigr	Total number of signature affidavits audited:
	170 ( 11 120, produce dudon an explanation of any meanistrances)

## Clerk Certification - to be completed before the canvass

Number of vote histories: 68,572  Number of vote histories: 68,572  Difference: Explain any differences:  X Yes No _ I certify that I have properly maintained the voter list in accordance with state and fed laws (20A-3a-404(2)).  X Yes No _ I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any equipment matches the firmware version that is certified by the EAC."	close	YesNo I certify that I have reviewed the number of vote histories recorded in VISTA and they by match the number of ballots cast in my county during this election.
Explain any differences:  X Yes No I certify that I have properly maintained the voter list in accordance with state and fed laws (20A-3a-404(2)).  Yes No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any		Number of ballots counted: 68,572
Explain any differences:  X Yes No I certify that I have properly maintained the voter list in accordance with state and fed laws (20A-3a-404(2)).  Yes No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any		Number of vote histories: 68,572
Yes No I complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any		Difference:
laws (20A-3a-404(2)).  Yes No   complied with the requirements of the software validation rule R623-7: "before using voting equipment, and before each election, the election officer shall verify that the firmware version on any		Explain any differences:
	v ·	