Village of Stockbridge

116 S. Military Rd. ~ PO Box 292 Stockbridge, WI 53088 mshapiro@msa-ps.com

VARIANCE & ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL APPLICATION

Fee \$600.00

Phone: (920) 267-6088

Make Checks Payable to: Village of Stockbridge

(/						, ,	
Applicant Information							
Applicant Name		Authorized Representative			Title		
Mailing Address		City			State	Zip	
Email Address				Telephone			
Landowners Information (if diffe	rent that Ap	plicant)					
Applicant Name		Authorized Representative			Title		
Mailing Address		City			State	Zip	
Email Address	Telephone						
Project or Site Location							
Site Address / Location				Parcel ID(s)			
Current Zoning:			Current Use:				
Variance OR Administrative Ap	peal Petition	on (See rev	erse side f	or Variance	Request)		
List which code section(s) requesting variance from or decision appealing:	Describe the	Describe the Reason(s) for the Request (attach separate document(s) if needed:					
11 0							
Additional Fee Requirements							
Applicant understands that they a	re responsible	for any addition	nal costs asso	ciated with staf	f review.		
Certification & Permission							
Certification : I hereby certify that I a information contained is this form and provisions of the ordinance and/or pedesist orders. Permission : As a landowner of the page 1.	l attachments rmit may resu	is true and a ult in notices,	iccurate. I ui fines / forfeit	nderstand tha ures, stop wo	t failure to co rk orders, pe	omply with any or all of the rmit revocation, and cease &	
evaluate this application, to determine the landowner.							
Applicants Signature	Date Signed		Date Signed				
Landowner Signature (REQUIRED)					Date Signed		
	LEAVE E	BLANK - FO	R MUNICIF	PAL USE ON	NLY		
Date Completed / Application Received :			Fee Received	1\$		Date Paid :	
Public Hearing Date :	Public Hearing	g Publication D	Pate:	Date Hearing	Notices Mailed	I to Parties in Interest :	
Board of Appeals Recommendations:		Approve		Denied	Subject to:		

Site Plan: A detailed drawing, drawn to scale, indicating lot lines, roads, driveways, buildings/structures, setbacks and any other pertinent information shall be submitted. Project Plans. A detailed drawing, drawn to scale, indicating all floor plans, elevations and any other pertinent information shall be submitted. Photos (optional): Photographs of the sile buildings or project may be submitted as part of the application. Written Statements: Statements responding to the following criteria shall be submitted. 1. Unique Property Limitations. Unique physical limitations of the property (i.e. steep slopes, wetlands, etc.) that prevent compliance with ordinance requirements can be considered for a grant of a variance. The circumstances of an applicant (i.e. growing family, need for a large garage, et.) are not a factor in deciding variances. Nearby ordinance volations, prior variances or lack of objections from eligiblors do not provide a basis for granting a variance. Property limitations that prevent ordinance compliance and are common to a number of properties should be addressed by an ordinance amendment request. Unique features of this property which prevent compliance with the terms of the ordinance include: 2. No Harm to Public Interests. A variance may not be granted which results in harm to public interests. In applying this test, the Board of Appeals must consider the impact of the proposal and the cumulative impacts of similar projects on the interest of the neighbors, the entire community and the general public. These interests are listed in the Purpose and Intent section of the ordinance. 2. No Harm to Public Interests. A variance may not be granted which results in harm to public interests. In applying this test, the Board of Appeals must consider the variance which are self-imposed or created by a prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship because of conditions which are self-imposed or created by a prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or f	IRED INFORMATION . The following items are required attachments for a VARIANCE Request. An application will not be considered to until all attachments are filed (Please attach additional sheets if more room is needed).
Photos (optional): Photographs of the site buildings or project may be submitted as part of the application. Written Statements: Statements responding to the following criteria shall be submitted. 1. Unique Property Limitations. Unique physical limitations of the property (i.e. steep slopes, wetlands, etc.) that prevent compliance with ordinance requirements can be considered for a grant of a variance. The circumstances of an applicant (i.e. growing family, need for a larger garage, etc.) are not a factor in deciding variances. Nearby ordinance violations, prior variances or lack of objections from neighbors do not provide a basis for granting a variance. Property limitations that prevent ordinance compliance and are common to a number of properties should be addressed by an ordinance amendment request. Unique features of this property which prevent compliance with the terms of the ordinance include: 2. No Harm to Public Interests. A variance may not be granted which results in harm to public interests. In applying this test, the Board of Appeals must consider the impact of the proposal and the cumulative impacts of similar projects on the interest of the neighbors, the entire community and the general public. These interests are listed in the Purpose and Intent section of the ordinance. A variance will not be contrary to the public interests because: 3. Unnecessary Hardship. An applicant may not claim unnecessary hardship because of conditions which are self-imposed or created by a prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship personal that the property owner without any use that is permitted for the pro	
Written Statements: Statements responding to the following criteria shall be submitted. 1. Unique Property Limitations. Unique physical limitations of the property (i.e. steep slopes, wetlands, etc.) that prevent compliance with ordinance requirements can be considered for a grant of a variance. The circumstances of an applicant (i.e. growing family, need for a larger garage, etc.) are not a factor in deciding variances. Nearby ordinance violations, prior variances or lack of objections from neighbors do not provide a basis for granting a variance. Property limitations that prevent ordinance compliance and are common to a number of properties should be addressed by an ordinance amendment request. Unique features of this property which prevent compliance with the terms of the ordinance include: 2. No Harm to Public Interests. A variance may not be granted which results in harm to public interests. In applying this test, the Board of Appeals must consider the impact of the proposal and the cumulative impacts of similar projects on the interest of the neighbors, the entire community and the general public. These interests are listed in the Purpose and Intent section of the ordinance. A variance will not be contrary to the public interests because: 3. Unnecessary Hardship. An applicant may not claim unnecessary hardship because of conditions which are self-imposed or created by a prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property owner form using the property for a permitted upropse (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property owner form using th	
1. Unique Property Limitations. Unique physical limitations of the property (i.e. steep slopes, wetlands, etc.) that prevent compliance with ordinance requirements can be considered for a grant of a variance. The circumstances of an applicant (i.e. growing family, need for a larger garage, etc.) are not a factor in deciding variances. Nearby ordinance violations, prior variances or lack of objections from neighbors do not provide a basis for granting a variance. Property limitations that prevent ordinance compliance and are common to a number of properties should be addressed by an ordinance amendment request. Unique features of this property which prevent compliance with the terms of the ordinance include: 2. No Harm to Public Interests. A variance may not be granted which results in harm to public interests. In applying this test, the Board of Appeals must consider the impact of the proposal and the cumulative impacts of similar projects on the interest of the neighbors, the entire community and the general public. These interests are listed in the Purpose and Intent section of the ordinance. A variance will not be contrary to the public interests because: 3. Unnecessary Hardship. An applicant may not claim unnecessary hardship because of conditions which are self-imposed or created by a prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship exists, the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome. The Board of Appeals must consider the purpose and intent of the zoning restriction, the zoning restriction, the zoning restriction on the public interests.	 Photos (optional): Photographs of the site buildings or project may be submitted as part of the application.
ordinance requirements can be considered for a grant of a variance. The circumstances of an applicant (i.e. growing family, need for a larger garage, etc.) are not a factor in deciding variances. Nearby ordinance violations, prior variances or lack of objections from neighbors do not provide a basis for granting a variance. Property limitations that prevent ordinance compliance and are common to a number of properties should be addressed by an ordinance amendment request. Unique features of this property which prevent compliance with the terms of the ordinance include: 2. No Harm to Public Interests. A variance may not be granted which results in harm to public interests. In applying this test, the Board of Appeals must consider the impact of the proposal and the cumulative impacts of similar projects on the interest of the neighbors, the entire community and the general public. These interests are listed in the Purpose and Intent section of the ordinance. A variance will not be contrary to the public interests because: 3. Unnecessary Hardship. An applicant may not claim unnecessary hardship because of conditions which are self-imposed or created by a prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship. For an area variance, unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (eaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property) or would render conformity with such restrictions effect on the property and the short-term, long-term and cumulative effects of a variance on the neighborhood, the community and on the public interests.	Written Statements: Statements responding to the following criteria shall be submitted.
Appeals must consider the impact of the proposal and the cumulative impacts of similar projects on the interest of the neighbors, the entire community and the general public. These interests are listed in the Purpose and Intent section of the ordinance. A variance will not be contrary to the public interests because: 3. Unnecessary Hardship. An applicant may not claim unnecessary hardship because of conditions which are self-imposed or created by a prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship. For an area variance, unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property) or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome. The Board of Appeals must consider the purpose and intent of the zoning restriction, the zoning restrictions effect on the property and the short-term, long-term and cumulative effects of a variance on the neighborhood, the community and on the public interests.	ordinance requirements can be considered for a grant of a variance. The circumstances of an applicant (i.e. growing family, need for a larger garage, etc.) are not a factor in deciding variances. Nearby ordinance violations, prior variances or lack of objections from neighbors do not provide a basis for granting a variance. Property limitations that prevent ordinance compliance and are common to a number of properties should be addressed by an ordinance amendment request.
Appeals must consider the impact of the proposal and the cumulative impacts of similar projects on the interest of the neighbors, the entire community and the general public. These interests are listed in the Purpose and Intent section of the ordinance. A variance will not be contrary to the public interests because: 3. Unnecessary Hardship. An applicant may not claim unnecessary hardship because of conditions which are self-imposed or created by a prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship. For an area variance, unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property) or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome. The Board of Appeals must consider the purpose and intent of the zoning restriction, the zoning restrictions effect on the property and the short-term, long-term and cumulative effects of a variance on the neighborhood, the community and on the public interests.	
Appeals must consider the impact of the proposal and the cumulative impacts of similar projects on the interest of the neighbors, the entire community and the general public. These interests are listed in the Purpose and Intent section of the ordinance. A variance will not be contrary to the public interests because: 3. Unnecessary Hardship. An applicant may not claim unnecessary hardship because of conditions which are self-imposed or created by a prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship. For an area variance, unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property) or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome. The Board of Appeals must consider the purpose and intent of the zoning restriction, the zoning restrictions effect on the property and the short-term, long-term and cumulative effects of a variance on the neighborhood, the community and on the public interests.	
Appeals must consider the impact of the proposal and the cumulative impacts of similar projects on the interest of the neighbors, the entire community and the general public. These interests are listed in the Purpose and Intent section of the ordinance. A variance will not be contrary to the public interests because: 3. Unnecessary Hardship. An applicant may not claim unnecessary hardship because of conditions which are self-imposed or created by a prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship. For an area variance, unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property) or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome. The Board of Appeals must consider the purpose and intent of the zoning restriction, the zoning restrictions effect on the property and the short-term, long-term and cumulative effects of a variance on the neighborhood, the community and on the public interests.	
prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship. For an area variance, unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property) or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome. The Board of Appeals must consider the purpose and intent of the zoning restriction, the zoning restrictions effect on the property and the short-term, long-term and cumulative effects of a variance on the neighborhood, the community and on the public interests.	community and the general public. These interests are listed in the Purpose and Intent section of the ordinance.
prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship. For an area variance, unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property) or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome. The Board of Appeals must consider the purpose and intent of the zoning restriction, the zoning restrictions effect on the property and the short-term, long-term and cumulative effects of a variance on the neighborhood, the community and on the public interests.	
prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship. For an area variance, unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property) or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome. The Board of Appeals must consider the purpose and intent of the zoning restriction, the zoning restrictions effect on the property and the short-term, long-term and cumulative effects of a variance on the neighborhood, the community and on the public interests.	
prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship. For an area variance, unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property) or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome. The Board of Appeals must consider the purpose and intent of the zoning restriction, the zoning restrictions effect on the property and the short-term, long-term and cumulative effects of a variance on the neighborhood, the community and on the public interests.	
The unnecessary hardship is, and is present because:	prior owner. Courts have also determined that economic or financial hardship does not justify a variance. When determining whether unnecessary hardship exists, the property as a whole is considered rather than a portion of the parcel. The property owner bears the burden of proving unnecessary hardship. For an area variance, unnecessary hardship exists when compliance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose (leaving the property owner without any use that is permitted for the property) or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome. The Board of Appeals must consider the purpose and intent of the zoning restriction, the zoning restrictions effect on the property and the short-term, long-term and cumulative effects of a variance on the neighborhood, the community and on the public interests.
	The unnecessary hardship is, and is present because:

BASIS FOR APPROVAL: Variances shall only be granted when the Board of Appeals Board finds:

- 1 The variance is not contrary to the public interest and that such a variance will be in general harmony with the purposes and intent of this ordinance.
- 2 The variance will not permit the establishment of a use which is not permitted or permissible in the district.
- 3 Special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same district.
- 4 The literal interpretation of the provisions of this ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same district.
- 5 The hardship is not shared generally by other land or buildings in the
- 6 The hardship results from the strict application of this ordinance and is not the result of self-created or self-imposed circumstances.