CITY OF ECHO, OREGON

AMENDMENT TO THE 2015 WASTEWATER FACILITIES PLAN UPDATE

October 2018

RENEWS 12-31-18

ANDERSON PERRY & ASSOCIATES, INC.

La Grande, Redmond, and Hermiston, Oregon Walla Walla, Washington

Table of Contents

Introduction	
Background	
Option 3A - Phase 1 New Alternative Description	
Option 3A - Phase 1 Proposed Improvements	
Summary of Estimated Costs (2018 Dollars)	
Option 3A - Phase 2 Alternative Description	
Option 3A - Phase 2 Proposed Improvements	
Summary of Estimated Costs (2018 Dollars)	

FIGURES

Figure 1 Option 3A Phase 1 - Fountain Evaporation and Stanfield Discharge Water Balance

Figure 2 Option 3A Phase 1 - Fountain Evaporation and Stanfield Discharge Preliminary Cost Estimate

Figure 3 Option 3A Phase 2 - Fountain Evaporation and Irrigation Discharge Water Balance

Figure 4 Option 3A Phase 2 - Fountain Evaporation and Irrigation Discharge Preliminary Cost Estimate

APPENDIX

Potential Water and Wastewater Peak Day Demands by Month

Introduction

The City of Echo, Oregon's 2015 Wastewater Facilities Plan Update (WWFP Update) recommended improvements to the City's collection, treatment, and disposal systems. The City has pursued implementation of the recommended improvements but is experiencing difficulty obtaining appropriate land for the recommended storage lagoon. The inability to acquire land is preventing them from meeting the time constraints of their Oregon Department of Environmental Quality issued Mutual Agreement and Order. Failure to meet the stipulated dates has placed the City at risk of fines and other enforcement actions for not complying with their National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit. To eliminate the issue of land acquisition, an alternative disposal method has been developed.

Additionally, the City has received a proposal for the Northgate Development on land north of the City that may create wastewater flows well beyond the projected growth based on population presented in the WWFP Update. If this development moves forward, it would have a significant impact on any selected wastewater treatment and disposal approach. To address this potential impact to the City's plan, a two-phase option is presented, with the first phase addressing the base projected growth and the second phase for implementation if the Northgate Development proceeds.

Background

The WWFP Update noted that collection system improvements are needed to the City's single lift station and pressure sewer line leading to the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). The improvements would increase pumping flow rates, correct system control deficiencies, and replace outdated emergency and backup systems. A preliminary design of these improvements has been completed.

The WWFP Update also outlined WWTP improvements associated with influent metering; replacing/reconstructing lagoon valving, piping, and inlets; removal of sludge and debris; and restoration of the access road and bridge.

The current method for effluent disposal is evaporation during the summer and surface water discharge of treated and disinfected effluent during the winter (November 1 to April 30). The City is also allowed to distribute the reclaimed water on land for dissipation by evapotranspiration and controlled seepage using sound irrigation practices. The compliance issues of the system are related to the location of the City's outfall, which has not provided adequate mixing of the effluent in the Umatilla River, and the inability of the treatment lagoons to consistently and effectively produce effluent that meets the treatment limits stated in the City's NPDES Permit. Faced with likely revisions of regulations governing the disposal of effluent to the Umatilla River, the City decided that future effluent disposal will not include surface water discharge.

Option 3A - Phase 1 New Alternative Description

The proposed Phase 1 disposal method is based on increasing evaporation in the City's lagoon system to eliminate current effluent flows and minimize future effluent flows. Flows that exceed the evaporation rate would be pumped via a proposed pump station and forcemain to the City of Stanfield for further treatment and disposal. This could be accomplished by installing a new pump in the existing pump building and piping from the building to the canal, along the canal under Interstate 84 (I-84), then along

I-84 to a manhole near the Pilot Truck Stop. A 7.5 horsepower (Hp) centrifugal pump with a 4-inch diameter pipeline is anticipated to be used, with a maximum pumping rate of 100 gallons per minute (gpm). To accomplish this transfer, the Cities of Echo and Stanfield have prepared an intergovernmental agreement (IGA) that set flow criteria and payment rates for accepting the effluent.

Increasing evaporation at the City's lagoon could be achieved by installing a floating fountain system that sprays water from the pond into the air over the pond. The water droplets increase the surface area of water in contact with air, resulting in an increase in the total amount of evaporation. Data on an evaporative system such as this are somewhat limited, so a conservative approach to design was used. For example, sprinkler irrigation loss information suggests a 15 percent evaporation loss rate could be achieved; however, a 5 percent loss rate was used for planning.

As the City of Echo experiences growth and increased wastewater flows, there will be periods when evaporation and the available storage capacity will be exceeded. Using an IGA, these excess flows would be pumped to the City of Stanfield via a new transfer pump station and forcemain to the nearest Stanfield collection system manhole.

The attached water balance presents projected wastewater flows for the year 2034 with no adjustments for infiltration and inflow reductions (see Figure 1). This balance is based on the fountains operating during the evaporation months of March through October with a pumping rate of 800 gpm. Monthly evaporation rates vary based on pan evaporation data. At these projected 2034 influent flows, approximately 1.6 million gallons (MG) of effluent would be discharged to the Stanfield system in a typical year.

Option 3A - Phase 1 Proposed Improvements

It is proposed that collection system, WWTP, and effluent disposal improvements be completed as outlined in Chapter 6 of the WWFP Update with the following modifications:

- 1. Start with Option 3 with its proposed pump station and pressure main to the City of Stanfield collection system manhole as shown on Figure 5-12 of the WWFP Update.
- 2. Add the fountain evaporation system of Option 2 without the proposed new 2-acre storage lagoon. See Figure 5-9 of the WWFP Update.

The costs for these proposed Phase 1 effluent disposal improvements are itemized on Figure 2 herein. Construction costs are estimated at \$965,000 with a net present worth of \$1,202,000 (in 2018 dollars) when including 20 years of increased operational costs. A summary of the complete project costs including collection, treatment, and effluent disposal is shown below.

Summary of Estimated Costs (2018 Dollars)

Total Project Cost	\$2,563,000
Phase 1 Effluent Disposal	\$ 965,000
WWTP Function-Based Improvements ¹	\$ 776,000
Collection System ¹	\$ 822,000

¹ WWFP Update estimate in 2015 dollars inflated at 5 percent per year.

Option 3A - Phase 2 Alternative Description

With the addition of Northgate Development as currently proposed, the City's wastewater flows would more than double the 2034 projected population design criteria. The treatment capacity of the existing lagoon system the City needs for the 20-year planning period, and the combined City needs with the Northgate Development, are shown below.

	Treatment Capacity ¹	Future City Needs	Future City Needs with Northgate Development ²
Average Annual Flow (MGD)	0.075	0.058	0.138
Average BOD Load (PPD)	177	124	291

Notes:

BOD = Biochemical Oxygen Demand

MGD = Million gallons per day

PPD = Pounds per day

The current treatment facility is a facultative lagoon system that would not have enough treatment capacity to meet the needs of the Northgate Development. Additional aeration could provide sufficient oxygen to meet the treatment needs of the development. Approximately 5 Hp of aeration is needed to meet the oxygen demand. This could be added to the existing lagoons using floating aspirating aerators.

Discharge to the City of Stanfield at these higher flows would exceed the allowable limits in the IGA. Additionally, effluent disposal at the necessary volume would be cost-prohibitive at the IGA charge rate per 1,000 gallons. For these reasons, an alternative disposal approach is needed. It is assumed that continued discharge to the Umatilla River is not to be considered for the reasons noted in the WWFP Update. Assuming discharge to the Umatilla River is not a consideration, it is proposed that the City provide a new storage lagoon coupled with a reuse irrigation system for effluent disposal. Flows exceeding the available evaporation rates would be reclaimed for irrigation of crops, and discharge to the City of Stanfield would be discontinued except for backup disposal. If continued discharge to the Umatilla River is to be considered, a new WWFP should be completed that fully reevaluates all alternatives for treatment and disposal of the City's wastewater based on modified design criteria that include the Northgate Development.

A water balance for a storage and irrigation system is presented on Figure 3 herein. This system uses fountain evaporation at the WWTP to minimize effluent flows to a storage lagoon. The storage lagoon would provide 6 feet of effective storage depth for irrigation of 24.2 MG of reclaimed water. This could provide irrigation water for approximately 21.2 acres of alfalfa or supplement irrigation of a larger crop in combination with an existing water right.

¹ Current facility is permitted for 0.12 MGD, but treatment capacity is limited based on a minimum detention time of 90 days.

² BOD loadings for the Northgate Development are based on a BOD concentration of 250 milligrams per liter.

Option 3A - Phase 2 Proposed Improvements

The Phase 2 improvements would be constructed after the Phase 1 improvements discussed earlier, if the Northgate Development (or something similar) occurs (see the Appendix for estimated flows). Therefore, the collection system, treatment plant, and Stanfield disposal improvements would already have been completed. Phase 2 effluent storage and reuse improvements would include the following:

- 1. A second transmission pipeline would be constructed from the Phase 1 pump station to a new 8-acre storage lagoon located in the area of the Northgate Development.
- 2. An irrigation pump station and 22-acre irrigation system would be constructed on land near the storage lagoon to facilitate effluent reuse on crops for beneficial use.

The Phase 2 storage lagoon and irrigation improvements are similar to Option 1 shown on Figure 5-6 of the WWFP Update.

The costs for the Phase 2 effluent storage and reuse improvements are itemized on Figure 4 herein. Construction costs are estimated at \$2,026,000 with a net present worth of \$2,228,000 (in 2018 dollars) when including 20 years of increased operational costs. A summary of the complete project costs including collection, treatment, and effluent disposal is shown below.

Summary of Estimated Costs (2018 Dollars)

Collection System ¹		\$822,000
WWTP Function-Based Improvements ¹		\$776,000
Phase 1 Effluent Disposal		\$965,000
Phase 2 Effluent Disposal		\$2,026,000
	Total Project Cost	\$4,689,000

¹ WWFP Update estimate in 2015 dollars inflated at 5 percent per year.

The proposed Phase 1 system improvements were reviewed with the City on July 6, 2017, and have been identified as the preferred alternative to pursue for implementation. Upon approval of this Amendment to the WWFP Update, funding would be secured, design documents would be completed, and environmental clearances would be obtained. The estimated bid date for the construction contract would be April 2019. It is estimated that construction would be completed prior to April 2020.

FIGURES

OPTION 3A PHASE 1 - FOUNTAIN EVAPORATION AND STANFIELD DISCHARGE WATER BALANCE 2034 PROJECTED POPULATION

		Precip	itation ²	Evaporation ³				- 4	I	Discharge to	Storage	Cumulative Storage	Lagoon
Month	Influent ¹ (MG)	(in)	(MG)	(in)	(MG)	Seepage⁴ (MG)	Evaporation⁵ (MG)	Stanfield⁵ (MG)	Volume (+/-) (MG)	Volume (MG)	Depth ⁷ (ft)		
January	1.66	1.17	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.36	1.27	4.00	5.08		
February	1.72	0.71	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.36	1.27	5.27	5.74		
March	2.62	0.85	0.14	2.41	0.39	0.22	0.71	0.36	1.08	6.35	6.30		
April	2.29	0.73	0.12	3.80	0.61	0.22	0.86	0.36	0.36	6.72	6.49		
May	2.02	0.81	0.13	5.54	0.89	0.22	1.43	0.00	-0.39	6.32	6.29		
June	1.57	0.74	0.12	6.77	1.08	0.22	1.73	0.00	-1.34	4.98	5.59		
July	1.24	0.12	0.02	7.92	1.27	0.22	1.78	0.00	-2.01	2.96	4.54		
August	1.14	0.16	0.03	6.76	1.08	0.22	1.79	0.00	-1.93	1.03	3.54		
September	1.08	0.32	0.05	4.42	0.71	0.22	1.04	0.00	-0.83	0.19	3.10		
October	1.20	0.82	0.13	2.78	0.45	0.22	0.71	0.15	-0.19	0.00	3.00		
November	1.33	0.86	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	1.25	1.25	3.65		
December	1.50	1.30	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	1.48	2.73	4.42		
TOTALS	19.37	8.59	1.38	40.40	6.47	2.63	10.05	1.59	0.00				

		Square	
	Acres	Feet	MG
Cell A Area	1.6	69,696	1.82
Cell B Area	2.2	95,832	2.51
Cell C Area	2.1	91,476	2.39
Total	5.9	257,004	6.73
IUIAI	5.9	257,004	0.73

Yellow shading = Maximum storage Blue shading = Minimum storage

Notes:

- 1. Influent. Influent flows are based on average monthly flow from January 2009 to December 2013. Data obtained from Discharge Monitoring Reports. Influent flows were calculated using the design population of 828.
- 2. Precipitation. Utilized precipitation on record with the Western Regional Climate Center (WRCC) for the Hermiston 2NW weather station from 1999 to 2014. Mean rainfall used for each month.
- 3. Evaporation. Utilized pan evaporation data obtained from the WRCC for the Hermiston 2S station, with a pan coefficient of 0.70.
- 4. Seepage. Existing lagoon seepage assumed to be 0.045 inch per day.
- 5. Fountain Evaporation. Based on a pump rate of 800 gallons per minute and estimating a 5 percent water loss.
- 6. Discharge to Stanfield. Discharge to Stanfield is balanced not to exceed the maximum operating depth of the lagoons.
- 7. Lagoon Depth. The minimum operating depth is estimated to be 3.0 feet and the maximum operating depth is 6.5 feet.



CITY OF
ECHO, OREGON
AMENDMENT TO THE 2015 WASTEWATER FACILITIES PLAN UPDATE

OPTION 3A PHASE 1 - FOUNTAIN EVAPORATION AND STANFIELD DISCHARGE WATER BALANCE **FIGURE**

1

CITY OF ECHO, OREGON AMENDMENT TO THE 2015 WASTEWATER FACILITIES PLAN UPDATE OPTION 3A PHASE 1 FOUNTAIN EVAPORATION AND STANFIELD DISCHARGE PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE (YEAR 2018 COSTS)

NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	Т	OTAL PRICE
1	Mobilization	LS	All Req'd	\$ 45,600	\$	45,600
2	Traffic Control/Project Safety	LS	All Req'd	12,000		12,000
3	Fountains and Appurtenances	LS	All Req'd	79,000		79,000
4	Pump Station to Stanfield	LS	All Req'd	173,000		173,000
5	Piping	LF	4,200	42		176,400
6	Canal Crossing	LS	All Req'd	35,000		35,000
7	Surface Restoration	LS	All Req'd	13,000		13,000
8	Highway Bore and Crossing	LS	All Req'd	95,000		95,000
9	Miscellaneous Work	LS	All Req'd	23,000		23,000
	nstruction Cost	\$	652,000			
			Co	ontingency (15%)		98,000
	Design Engineering, Administration, Le	egal, and	Construction Er	ngineering (20%)		130,000
			Enviro	nmental Report		40,000
			Cultural R	Resource Report		15,000
				Permitting		15,000
			Pipe	eline Easements		15,000
	TOTAL ESTIMATED	OPTION	I 3A PHASE 1 F	PROJECT COST		965,000
PRESEN	IT WORTH ANALYSIS (2018 DOLLAR	<u>(S)</u>				
Item	Description	<u></u>				Annual Cost
ADDITIC	DNAL ANNUAL OPERATION, MAINTEN	VANCE,	AND REPLACE	MENT (OM&R)	-	
1	Labor				\$	5,250

Item	Description	Annual Cost
ADDITIO	ONAL ANNUAL OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPLACEMENT (OM&R)	
1	Labor	\$ 5,250
2	Supplies, Parts, Maintenance, and Repairs	3,100
3	Replacement	1,500
4	Stanfield Charges for 1.6 Million Gallons of Influent (\$2.25/1,000 Gallons)	6,000
5	Electrical Cost (Pond Fountain and Transfer Pump)	3,100
	Total OM&R	\$ 18,950
	Present Worth Operation and Maintenance Cost (5%, 20 years)	237,000
	Total Present Worth (2018 Dollars)	\$ 1,202,000



CITY OF
ECHO, OREGON
AMENDMENT TO THE 2015 WASTEWATER FACILITIES PLAN UPDATE

AMENDMENT TO THE 2015 WASTEWATER FACILITIES PLAN UPDATE
OPTION 3A PHASE 1 - FOUNTAIN
EVAPORATION AND STANFIELD DISCHARGE
PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

FIGURE

OPTION 3A PHASE 2 - FOUNTAIN EVAPORATION AND IRRIGATION DISCHARGE WATER BALANCE 2034 PROJECTED POPULATION WITH NORTHGATE DEVELOPMENT

		Treatment Cells									Storage Lagoon											
								Discharge	Storage	Storage								Irrigat		Storage	Storage	Storage
	1 .1		_	1	_		Fountain	to	Lagoon	Volume	Storage	Treatment						Crop:	Alfalfa	Volume	Lagoon	Lagoon
	Influent ¹	Precipi	tation ²	Evapo	ration ³	Seepage ⁴	Evaporation ⁵	Stanfield ⁶	Transfer	(+/-)	Volume	Cell Depth ⁷	Precip	itation ²	Evapor	ration ³	Seepage⁴	Acreage:	21.2	(+/-)	Volume	Depth [®]
Month	(MG)	(in)	(MG)	(in)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(ft)	(in)	(MG)	(in)	(MG)	(MG)	(in)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(ft)
January	4.14	1.17	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	2.65	1.46	3.77	4.96	1.17	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	2.89	9.68	6.90
February	3.96	0.71	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	2.65	1.22	4.99	5.60	0.71	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	2.80	12.48	8.03
March	5.10	0.85	0.14	2.41	0.39	0.22	0.71	0.00	2.66	1.26	6.25	6.25	0.85	0.18	2.41	0.50	0.00		0.00	2.34	14.82	8.97
April	4.69	0.73	0.12	3.80	0.61	0.22	0.86	0.00	2.65	0.47	6.73	6.50	0.73	0.15	3.80	0.79	0.00	3.41	1.96	0.05	14.87	8.99
May	4.50	0.81	0.13	5.54	0.89	0.22	1.43	0.00	2.48	-0.39	6.33	6.29	0.81	0.17	5.54	1.15	0.00	5.44	3.13	-1.63	13.24	8.33
June	3.97	0.74	0.12	6.77	1.08	0.22	1.73	0.00	2.48	-1.42	4.91	5.55	0.74	0.15	6.77	1.40	0.00	7.41	4.27	-3.03	10.21	7.11
July	3.72	0.12	0.02	7.92	1.27	0.22	1.78	0.00	2.48	-2.01	2.89	4.51	0.12	0.02	7.92	1.64	0.00	10.29	5.93	-5.06	5.15	5.07
August	3.62	0.16	0.03	6.76	1.08	0.22	1.79	0.00	2.48	-1.93	0.96	3.50	0.16	0.03	6.76	1.40	0.00	8.65	4.98	-3.87	1.28	3.52
September	3.48	0.32	0.05	4.42	0.71	0.22	1.04	0.00	2.48	-0.91	0.04	3.02	0.32	0.07	4.42	0.92	0.00	5.05	2.91	-1.28	0.00	3.00
October	3.68	0.82	0.13	2.78	0.45	0.22	0.71	0.00	2.48	-0.04	0.00	3.00	0.82	0.17	2.78	0.57	0.00	1.79	1.03	1.04	1.04	3.42
November	3.73	0.86	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	2.65	1.00	1.00	3.52	0.86	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	2.83	3.87	4.56
December	3.98	1.30	0.21	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	2.65	1.31	2.31	4.20	1.30	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	2.92	6.79	5.74
TOTALS	48.57	8.59	1.38	40.40	6.47	2.63	10.05	0.00	30.79	0.00			8.59	1.78	40.40	8.36	0.00	42.05	24.20	0.00		

		Effective		
		Storage	Square	
	Acres	Depth (ft)	Feet	MG
Cell A Area	1.6	3.5	69,696	1.82
Cell B Area	2.2	3.5	95,832	2.51
Cell C Area	2.1	3.5	91,476	2.39
Subtotal	5.9		257,004	6.73
Storage Lagoon	7.62	6	331,927	14.90
Total	13.5		588,931	21.63

Orange shading = Irrigation area Yellow shading = Maximum storage Blue shading = Minimum storage

Notes:

- 1. Influent. Influent flows are based on average monthly flow from January 2009 to December 2013. Data obtained from Discharge Monitoring Reports. Influent flows were calculated using the design population of 828 plus 0.08 MGD flow for the Northgate Development.
- 2. Precipitation. Utilized precipitation on record with the Western Regional Climate Center (WRCC) for the Hermiston 2NW weather station from 1999 to 2014. Mean rainfall used for each month.
- 3. Evaporation. Utilized pan evaporation data obtained from the WRCC for the Hermiston 2S station, with a pan coefficient of 0.70.
- 4. Seepage. Existing lagoon seepage assumed to be 0.045 inch per day. Storage lagoon seepage assumed to be 0.
- 5. Fountain Evaporation. Based on a pump rate of 800 gallons per minute and estimating a 5 percent water loss.
- 6. Discharge to Stanfield. No discharge to Stanfield for Phase 2; backup disposal only.
- 7. Treatment Cell Depth. The minimum operating depth is estimated to be 3.0 feet and the maximum operating depth is 6.5 feet.
- 8. Storage Lagoon Depth. The minimum operating depth is estimated to be 3.0 feet and the maximum operating depth is 9 feet, for an effective operating storage depth of 6 feet.



CITY OF
ECHO, OREGON
AMENDMENT TO THE 2015 WASTEWATER FACILITIES PLAN UPDATE
OPTION 3A PHASE 2 - FOUNTAIN EVAPORATION
AND IRRIGATION DISCHARGE WATER BALANCE

FIGURE

3

CITY OF ECHO, OREGON AMENDMENT TO THE 2015 WASTEWATER FACILITIES PLAN UPDATE **OPTION 3A PHASE 2**

FOUNTAIN EVAPORATION AND IRRIGATION DISCHARGE PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE (YEAR 2018 COSTS)

NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	ESTIMATED QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TC	TAL PRICE				
1	Mobilization	LS	All Req'd	\$ 89,200	\$	89,200				
2	Traffic Control/Project Safety	LS	All Reg'd	12,000		12,000				
3	Pump Station Additions	LS	All Req'd	42,000		42,000				
4	Piping	LF	6,900	42		289,800				
5	Clearing and Grubbing	Acre	8	1,050		8,400				
6	Earthwork	CY	23,100	4		92,400				
7	Pond Liner	SF	190,000	0.80		152,000				
8	Riprap	CY	4,300	42		180,600				
9	Fencing	LF	2,400	11		26,400				
10	Base Rock	CY	700	21		14,700				
11	Irrigation Pump Station	LS	All Req'd	120,000		120,000				
12	Irrigation Piping	LF	2,500	40		100,000				
13	Irrigation System (22 acres)	LS	All Req'd	60,000		60,000				
14	Canal Crossing	LS	All Req'd	35,000		35,000				
15	Surface Restoration	LS	All Req'd	20,000		20,000				
16	Highway Bore	LS	All Req'd	10,500		10,500				
17	Miscellaneous Work	LS	All Req'd	22,000		22,000				
		Tota	I Estimated Co	nstruction Cost	\$	1,275,000				
			Co	entingency (15%)		191,000				
	Design Engineering, Administration	Legal, and	Construction Er	ngineering (20%)		255,000				
	Lan	d Acquisitio	n for Storage Po	nd and Irrigation		200,000				
			Envir	onmental Report		40,000				
	Cultural Resource Report									
	Permitting									
			Pipe	eline Easements		15,000				
			Cultural Reso	ource Monitoring		20,000				
	TOTAL ESTIMAT	ED OPTION	N 3A PHASE 2 P	ROJECT COST	\$	2,026,000				

PRESENT WORTH ANALYSIS (2018 DOLLARS)

Item	Description	Annual Cost
ANNUA	L OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPLACEMENT (OM&R)	
1	Labor	\$ 5,250
2	Supplies, Parts, Maintenance, and Repairs	3,100
3	Replacement	1,800
4	Stanfield Charges for 0 Million Gallons of Influent (\$3.75/1,000 Gallons)	-
5	Electrical Cost (Pond Fountain, Transfer Pump, and Irrigation)	6,000
	Total OM&R	\$ 16,150
	Present Worth Operation and Maintenance Cost (5%, 20 years)	202,000
	Total Present Worth (2018 Dollars)	\$ 2,228,000



CITY OF

ECHO, OREGON AMENDMENT TO THE 2015 WASTEWATER FACILITIES PLAN UPDATE **OPTION 3A PHASE 2 - FOUNTAIN EVAPORATION AND IRRIGATION DISCHARGE** PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE

FIGURE 4

APPENDIX

Potential Water and Wastewater Peak Day Demands by Month PLANNING LEVEL ESTIMATE

Echo Hill Development
K & L Madison, LLC
December 13, 2016



Type of Establishment		Water Dei	mand ¹	Wastewater	Demand ²
	Establishment Details	Gallons Per Day	Establishment	Gallons Per Day	Establishment
		(gpd)/Unit	Total (gpd)	(gpd)/Unit	Total (gpd)
	NOVEMBER	- FEBRUARY			
Gas Station & Convenience Store	285 Vehicles Served ³	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850
Laundry Mat	8 Machines 40 Washings per Day	50 gpd/ washing	2,000	500 gpd/machine	4,000
RV Park	100 Spaces	125 gpd/space	12,500	100 gpd/space	10,000
Hotel	100 Rooms 2 People per Room (Avg.)	50 gpd/ person/room	10,000	120 gpd/room	12,000
Hotel	50 People Using Swimming Pool ⁴	10 gpd/person	500	10 gpd/person	500
	1,800 ft ² Swimming Pool Maintenance	10 gpd/100 ft ²	180	10 gpd/100 ft ²	180
Mushroom Farm	Industrial Waste ⁵	259,200 gpd	259,200	25,920 gpd	25,920
- Ividsiii Oomi i diiii	150 Employees	15 gpd/person/shift	2,250	15 gpd/person/shift	2,250
Establishments ⁶	10 Additional Establishments 6 Employees per Industry	15 gpd/person/shift	900	15 gpd/person/shift	900
NOVEMBER-FEBRUAR	Y PEAK DAY DEMAND SUBTOTAL (gpd)10	290,0	00	60,0	00
		RCH		Nath Adam.	FYERLY
Gas Station & Convenience Store	285 Vehicles Served ³	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850
Laundry Mat	8 Machines 40 Washings per Day	50 gpd/ washing	2,000	500 gpd/machine	4,000
RV Park	100 Spaces	125 gpd/space	12,500	100 gpd/space	10,000
IV Falk	100,000 ft ² Landscaping	121 gpd/1,000 ft ²⁹	12,100	Andrew Company	4 - 2 -
	100 Rooms 2 People per Room (Avg.)	50 gpd/ person/room	10,000	120 gpd/room	12,000
Hotel	50 People Using Swimming Pool ⁴	10 gpd/person	500	10 gpd/person	500
	1,800 ft ² Swimming Pool Maintenance	10 gpd/100 ft ²	180	10 gpd/100 ft ²	180
	20,000 ft ² Landscaping	121 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	2,420		12.5 A-03. (1.
Amphitheater	80,425 ft ² Landscaping ⁵	121 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	9,731		·
Mushroom Farm	Industrial Waste ⁶	259,200 gpd	259,200	25,920 gpd	25,920
ividsiii oom Fami	150 Employees	15 gpd/person/shift	2,250	15 gpd/person/shift	2,250
Establishments ⁷	10 Additional Establishments 6 Employees per Industry	15 gpd/person/shift	900	15 gpd/person/shift	900
Landscaping	280,900 ft ² Landscaping ⁸	121 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	3,497	(h) 5 11	I
MARCI	H PEAK DAY DEMAND SUBTOTAL (gpd) ¹⁰	320,0	00	60,0	00
		PRIL			
Gas Station & Convenience Store	285 Vehicles Served ³	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850
Laundry Mat	8 Machines 40 Washings per Day	50 gpd/ washing	2,000	500 gpd/machine	4,000
RV Park	100 Spaces	125 gpd/space	12,500	100 gpd/space	10,000
NV Falk	100,000 ft ² Landscaping	216 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	21,600		-
Hotel	100 Rooms 2 People per Room (Avg.)	50 gpd/ person/room	10,000	120 gpd/room	12,000
	50 People Using Swimming Pool ⁴	10 gpd/person	500	10 gpd/person	500
	1,800 ft ² Swimming Pool Maintenance	10 gpd/100 ft ²	180	10 gpd/100 ft ²	180
	20,000 ft ² Landscaping	216 gpd/1,000 ft ²⁹	4,320		<u> </u>
Amphitheater	80,425 ft ² Landscaping ⁵	216 gpd/1,000 ft ²⁹	17,372		14 T
	Industrial Waste ⁶	259,200 gpd	259,200	25,920 gpd	25,920
Mushroom Farm	150 Employees	15 gpd/person/shift	2,250	15 gpd/person/shift	2,250
Establishments ⁷	10 Additional Establishments 6 Employees per Industry	15 gpd/person/shift	900	15 gpd/person/shift	900
Landscaping	280,900 ft ² Landscaping ⁸	216 gpd/1,000 ft ²⁹	6,242		
	L PEAK DAY DEMAND SUBTOTAL (gpd) ¹⁰			60,0	00

Potential Water and Wastewater Peak Day Demands by Month PLANNING LEVEL ESTIMATE Echo Hill Dovelopment

Echo Hill Development

K & L Madison, LLC

December 13, 2016



		Water De	mand ¹	Wastewater	Demand ²
Type of Establishment	Establishment Details	Gallons Per Day	Establishment	Gallons Per Day	Establishment
		(gpd)/Unit	Total (gpd)	(gpd)/Unit	Total (gpd)
		MAY			
Gas Station & Convenience Store	285 Vehicles Served ³	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850
Laundry Mat	8 Machines 40 Washings per Day	50 gpd/ washing	2,000	500 gpd/machine	4,000
RV Park	100 Spaces 100,000 ft ² Landscaping	125 gpd/space 291 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	12,500 29,100	100 gpd/space	10,000
Hotel	100 Rooms 2 People per Room (Avg.) 50 People Using Swimming Pool ⁴	50 gpd/ person/room 10 gpd/person	10,000	120 gpd/room	12,000 500
	1,800 ft ² Swimming Pool Maintenance 20,000 ft ² Landscaping	10 gpd/100 ft ² 291 gpd/1,000 ft ²⁹	180 5,820	10 gpd/100 ft ²	180
Amphitheater	80,425 ft ² Landscaping ⁵	291 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	23,404		1007-06
Mushroom Farm	Industrial Waste ⁶	259,200 gpd	259,200	25,920 gpd	25,920
iviusiiroom Farm	150 Employees	15 gpd/person/shift	2,250	15 gpd/person/shift	2,250
Establishments ⁷	10 Additional Establishments 6 Employees per Industry	15 gpd/person/shift	900	15 gpd/person/shift	900
Landscaping	280,900 ft ² Landscaping ⁸	291 gpd/1,000 ft ²⁹	8,410		-
MA	Y PEAK DAY DEMAND SUBTOTAL (gpd)	360,0	00	60,0	00
		UNE			THE RECEIVE
Gas Station & Convenience Store	285 Vehicles Served ³	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850
Laundry Mat	8 Machines 40 Washings per Day	50 gpd/ washing	2,000	500 gpd/machine	4,000
RV Park	100 Spaces 100,000 ft ² Landscaping	125 gpd/space 373 gpd/1,000 ft ²⁹	12,500 37,300	100 gpd/space	10,000
Hotel	100 Rooms 2 People per Room (Avg.)	50 gpd/ person/room	10,000	120 gpd/room	12,000
	50 People Using Swimming Pool ⁴ 1,800 ft ² Swimming Pool Maintenance 20,000 ft ² Landscaping	10 gpd/person 10 gpd/100 ft ² 373 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	500 180 7,460	10 gpd/person 10 gpd/100 ft ² 	500 180
Amphitheater	10,000 Seat 80,425 ft ² Landscaping ⁵	5 gpd/seat 373 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	50,000 29,999	5 gpd/seat	50,000
Mushroom Farm	Industrial Waste ⁶ 150 Employees	259,200 gpd 15 gpd/person/shift	259,200 2,250	25,920 gpd 15 gpd/person/shift	25,920 2,250
Establishments ⁷	10 Additional Establishments 6 Employees per Industry	15 gpd/person/shift	900	15 gpd/person/shift	900
Landscaping	280,900 ft ² Landscaping ⁸	373 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	10,780		
TIN TIN	E PEAK DAY DEMAND SUBTOTAL (gpd)	430.0	00	110.0	200

Potential Water and Wastewater Peak Day Demands by Month PLANNING LEVEL ESTIMATE Echo Hill Development K & L Madison, LLC December 13, 2016

(JUB)

J.U.B ENGINEERS, INC.

	国际企业的基本的企业的企业				water Demand ²	
Type of Establishment	Establishment Details	Gallons Per Day (gpd)/Unit	Establishment Total (gpd)	Gallons Per Day (gpd)/Unit	Establishment Total (gpd)	
	JI COLONIA DE LA COLONIA DE	JLY	(3)		(8)	
Gas Station & Convenience Store	285 Vehicles Served ³	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	
Laundry Mat	8 Machines 40 Washings per Day	50 gpd/ washing	2,000	500 gpd/machine	4,000	
RV Park	100 Spaces 100,000 ft ² Landscaping	125 gpd/space 419 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	12,500 41,900	100 gpd/space	10,000	
Hotel	100 Rooms 2 People per Room (Avg.)	50 gpd/ person/room	10,000	120 gpd/room	12,000	
	50 People Using Swimming Pool ⁴ 1,800 ft ² Swimming Pool Maintenance	10 gpd/person 10 gpd/100 ft ²	500 180	10 gpd/person 10 gpd/100 ft²	500 180	
Amphitheater	20,000 ft ² Landscaping 10,000 Seat	419 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9} 5 gpd/seat	8,380 50,000	 5 gpd/seat	50,000	
Mushroom Farm	80,425 ft ² Landscaping ⁵ Industrial Waste ⁶	419 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9} 259,200 gpd	33,698 259,200	25,920 gpd	25,920	
Establishments ⁷	150 Employees 10 Additional Establishments 6 Employees per Industry	15 gpd/person/shift 15 gpd/person/shift	2,250 900	15 gpd/person/shift 15 gpd/person/shift	2,250 900	
Landscaping	280,900 ft ² Landscaping ⁸	419 gpd/1,000 ft ²⁹	12,109			
JUL	Y PEAK DAY DEMAND SUBTOTAL (gpd) ¹		00	110,0	000	
	AU	GUST	THE PERSON NAMED IN		destant,	
Gas Station & Convenience Store	285 Vehicles Served ³	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	
Laundry Mat	8 Machines 40 Washings per Day	50 gpd/ washing	2,000	500 gpd/machine	4,000	
RV Park	100 Spaces 100,000 ft ² Landscaping	125 gpd/space 372 gpd/1,000 ft ²⁹	12,500 37,200	100 gpd/space	10,000	
Hotel	100 Rooms 2 People per Room (Avg.)	50 gpd/ person/room	10,000	120 gpd/room	12,000	
	50 People Using Swimming Pool ⁴ 1,800 ft ² Swimming Pool Maintenance	10 gpd/person 10 gpd/100 ft ²	500 180	10 gpd/person 10 gpd/100 ft ²	500 180	
Amphitheater	20,000 ft ² Landscaping 10,000 Seat 80,425 ft ² Landscaping ⁵	372 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9} 5 gpd/seat 372 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	7,440 50,000 29,918	5 gpd/seat	50,000	
Mushroom Farm	Industrial Waste ⁶ 150 Employees	259,200 gpd 15 gpd/person/shift	259,200 2,250	25,920 gpd 15 gpd/person/shift	25,920 2,250	
Establishments ⁷	10 Additional Establishments 6 Employees per Industry	15 gpd/person/shift	900	15 gpd/person/shift	900	
Landscaping	280,900 ft ² Landscaping ⁸	372 gpd/1,000 ft ²⁹	10,751			
AUGUS	T PEAK DAY DEMAND SUBTOTAL (gpd) ¹	⁰ 430,0	00	110,0	000	

Potential Water and Wastewater Peak Day Demands by Month PLANNING LEVEL ESTIMATE Echo Hill Development

Echo Hill Development

K & L Madison, LLC

December 13, 2016



J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.

	Establishment Details	Water Demand ¹		Wastewater Demand ²		
Type of Establishment		Gallons Per Day (gpd)/Unit	Establishment Total (gpd)	Gallons Per Day (gpd)/Unit	Establishment Total (gpd)	
	SEPTI	EMBER				
Gas Station & Convenience Store	285 Vehicles Served ³	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	
Laundry Mat	8 Machines 40 Washings per Day	50 gpd/ washing	2,000	500 gpd/machine	4,000	
RV Park	100 Spaces 100,000 ft ² Landscaping	125 gpd/space 246 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	12,500 24,600	100 gpd/space	10,000	
Hotel	100 Rooms 2 People per Room (Avg.) 50 People Using Swimming Pool ⁴ 1,800 ft ² Swimming Pool Maintenance 20,000 ft ² Landscaping	50 gpd/ person/room 10 gpd/person 10 gpd/100 ft ² 246 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	10,000 500 180 4,920	120 gpd/room 10 gpd/person 10 gpd/100 ft ²	12,000 500 180	
Amphitheater	10,000 Seat 80,425 ft ² Landscaping ⁵	5 gpd/seat 246 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	50,000 19,785	5 gpd/seat	50,000	
Mushroom Farm	Industrial Waste ⁶ 150 Employees	259,200 gpd 15 gpd/person/shift	259,200 2,250	25,920 gpd 15 gpd/person/shift	25,920 2,250	
Establishments ⁷	10 Additional Establishments 6 Employees per Industry	15 gpd/person/shift	900	15 gpd/person/shift	900	
Landscaping	280,900 ft ² Landscaping ⁸	246 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	7,109			
SEPTEMBER	PEAK DAY DEMAND SUBTOTAL (gpd) ¹⁰	400,0	00	110,0	00	
	ОСТ	OBER				
Gas Station & Convenience Store	285 Vehicles Served ³	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	10 gpd/vehicle served	2,850	
Laundry Mat	8 Machines 40 Washings per Day	50 gpd/ washing	2,000	500 gpd/machine	4,000	
RV Park	100 Spaces 100,000 ft ² Landscaping	125 gpd/space 100 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	12,500 10,000	100 gpd/space	10,000	
Hotel	100 Rooms 2 People per Room (Avg.) 50 People Using Swimming Pool ⁴ 1,800 ft ² Swimming Pool Maintenance 20,000 ft ² Landscaping	50 gpd/ person/room 10 gpd/person 10 gpd/100 ft ² 100 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	10,000 500 180 2,000	120 gpd/room 10 gpd/person 10 gpd/100 ft ²	12,000 500 180	
Amphitheater	80,425 ft ² Landscaping ⁵	100 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	8,043	307-1-1	1	
Mushroom Farm	Industrial Waste ⁶ 150 Employees	259,200 gpd 15 gpd/person/shift	259,200 2,250	25,920 gpd 15 gpd/person/shift	25,920 2,250	
Establishments ⁷	10 Additional Establishments 6 Employees per Industry	15 gpd/person/shift	900	15 gpd/person/shift	900	
Landscaping	280,900 ft ² Landscaping ⁸	100 gpd/1,000 ft ^{2 9}	2,890		-	
OCTOBER PEAK DAY DEMAND SUBTOTAL (gpd) ¹⁰ AVERAGE PEAK DAY DEMAND TOTAL (gpd) ¹⁰		310,000		60,000		
AVERAGE PEAK DAY DEMAND TOTAL (gpd) ¹⁰ AVERAGE PEAK DAY DEMAND TOTAL (MGD) ¹⁰		350,000		80,000		
			0.35 0.08			
AVERAGE PEAK DAY DEMAND TOTAL (gpm) ¹⁰ AVERAGE YEARLY DEMAND TOTAL (gallons/year) ¹⁰			243 56 127,750,000 29,200,			
AVERAGE YEARLY DEMAND TOTAL (million gallons/year) ¹⁰			7,750,000 29,200,000 127.75_ 29.20		10.00	

Potential Water and Wastewater Peak Day Demands by Month PLANNING LEVEL ESTIMATE

Echo Hill Development

K & L Madison, LLC December 13, 2016



Wastewater Demand²

		Water Demand		vvastewatei	Demand	
Type of Establishment	Establishment Details	Gallons Per Day (gpd)/Unit	Establishment Total (gpd)	Gallons Per Day (gpd)/Unit	Establishmen Total (gpd)	
	SU	JMMARY	Material Control			
	DOMESTIC DEMAND	Water = 0.03 MGD (10% of Total) Wastewater = 0.03 N		IGD (50% of Total)		
NOVEMBER - FEBRUARY	IRRIGATION DEMAND	Water = N/A		Wastewater = N/A		
	INDUSTRIAL DEMAND	Water = 0.26 MGD (90% of Total)		Wastewater = 0.03 MGD (50% of Total		
	DOMESTIC DEMAND	Water = 0.03 MGD (9	Water = 0.03 MGD (9% of Total)		Wastewater = 0.03 MGD (50% of Total	
MARCH	IRRIGATION DEMAND	Water =0.03 MGD (9% of Total)		Wastewater = N/A		
	INDUSTRIAL DEMAND	Water = 0.26 MGD (8	31% of Total)	Wastewater = 0.03 MGD (50% of Tota		
	DOMESTIC DEMAND	Water = 0.03 MGD (9	9% of Total)	Wastewater = 0.03 MGD (50% of Tota		
APRIL	IRRIGATION DEMAND	Water =0.05 MGD (1	Water =0.05 MGD (15% of Total)		Wastewater = N/A	
	INDUSTRIAL DEMAND	Water = 0.26 MGD (7	Water = 0.26 MGD (76% of Total)		Wastewater = 0.03 MGD (50% of Total	
	DOMESTIC DEMAND	Water = 0.03 MGD (8	3% of Total)	Wastewater = 0.03 N	IGD (50% of Total)	
MAY	IRRIGATION DEMAND	Water =0.07 MGD (20% of Total)		Wastewater = N/A		
	INDUSTRIAL DEMAND	Water = 0.26 MGD (72% of Total)		Wastewater = 0.03 MGD (50% of Total		
	DOMESTIC DEMAND	Water = 0.08 MGD (1	19% of Total)	Wastewater = 0.08 N	IGD (73% of Total)	
JUNE	IRRIGATION DEMAND	Water =0.09 MGD (21% of Total)		Wastewater = N/A		
	INDUSTRIAL DEMAND	Water = 0.26 MGD (60% of Total)		Wastewater = 0.03 MGD (27% of Tot		
	DOMESTIC DEMAND	Water = 0.08 MGD (18% of Total)		Wastewater = 0.08 MGD (73% of Total		
JULY	IRRIGATION DEMAND	Water =0.10 MGD (23% of Total)		Wastewater = N/A		
	INDUSTRIAL DEMAND	Water = 0.26 MGD (5	Water = 0.26 MGD (59% of Total)		1GD (27% of Total)	
	DOMESTIC DEMAND	Water = 0.08 MGD (1	Water = 0.08 MGD (19% of Total)		IGD (73% of Total)	
AUGUST	IRRIGATION DEMAND	Water =0.09 MGD (21% of Total)		Wastewater = N/A		
	INDUSTRIAL DEMAND	Water = 0.26 MGD (6	Water = 0.26 MGD (60% of Total)		Wastewater = 0.03 MGD (27% of Total	
SEPTEMBER	DOMESTIC DEMAND	Water = 0.08 MGD (2	Water = 0.08 MGD (20% of Total)		IGD (73% of Total)	
	IRRIGATION DEMAND	Water =0.06 MGD (1	Water =0.06 MGD (15% of Total)		Wastewater = N/A	
	INDUSTRIAL DEMAND	Water = 0.26 MGD (6	Water = 0.26 MGD (65% of Total)		IGD (27% of Total)	
	DOMESTIC DEMAND	Water = 0.03 MGD (9	Water = 0.03 MGD (9% of Total)		IGD (50% of Total)	
OCTOBER	IRRIGATION DEMAND	Water =0.03 MGD (9% of Total) Wastewater = N/A				
	INDUSTRIAL DEMAND	Water = 0.26 MGD (8	Water = 0.26 MGD (82% of Total)		1GD (50% of Total)	

Water Demand¹

¹Water demand determined using the following publication: Water System Design Manual, December 2009, Table 5-2: Guide for Average Daily Nonresidential Water Demand.

² Wastewater demand determined using the following publication: OAR 340-071-0220 Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems - Standard Subsurface Systems, Table 2: Quantities of Sewage Flows.

³ Assume 15% of ODOT 2015 AADT (1900 AADT located 0.9 miles south of Umatilla-Stanfield Highway U.S. 395)

 $^{^4}$ Assume 25% of the average number of people staying at the hotel (~200 people/night) use the pool.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}$ Area is that of 1/4 of a 320' center pivot.

 $^{^{6}}$ Mushroom farm provided demands of 180 gal/min (water) and 18 gal/min (wastewater).

⁷ Industrial waste is not anticipated at these undefined establishments.

 $^{^{\}rm 8}$ Assumes additional undefined landscaping is approximately 5% of the 132 acre site.

⁹ The landscape demand per unit was calculated using nearby (Hermiston) historic precipitation, evaporation, and evapotranspiration data from AgriMet (http://www.usbr.gov/pn/agrimet/chartkey.html) and the Western Region Climate Center (http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?or3847) to determine the monthly irrigation needs. 1" of rainfall/ft² = 0.63 gpd/ft².

¹⁰ The estimated demand is planning level and are to be taken as approximate. Actual demands will be refined as the site design progresses and establishments are secured.